



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/765,077	01/17/2001	Prasad Krothapalli	90933/0276150	6745	
75	90 07/21/1004	•	EXAMI	NER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP			DUONG, OANH L		
1100 New York Avenue, N.W. East Tower, Ninth Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, Do			2155		
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004	, 6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

AUG 0 2 2004

Technology Center 2100

	09/765,077	KROTHAPALLI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Oanh L. Duong	2155
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ja	anuary 2001.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		RECEIVED
4) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		AUG 0 2 2004
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	Technology Center 2100
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	` "	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	; d.
Attachment(s)	0 □ L · . 6	(DTO 442)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ad	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 6

Application No.

Applicant(s)



Art Unit: 2155

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 1, 11 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nicolas et al. (Nicolas) (US 6,593,944 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Nicolas teaches a method for maintaining at a server frame context for a device (Fig. 7), the method comprising:

generating a first data structure having a first pointer for a first frame and a second pointer for a second frame (col. 2 lines 63-col. 3 line 2);

associating a first context indicator with the first data structure (col. 3 lines 2-3 and col. 10 lines 28-32)

Art Unit: 2155

sending from a server to a device the first context indicator, the first pointer, and a first document pointed to by the first pointer (col. 12 lines 32-44 and col. 14 lines 30-49).

Regarding claim 11, Nicolas teaches the first pointer and the third pointer point to different documents (Fig. 7).

Regarding claim 15, Nicolas teaches a method for maintaining at a server frame context for a device that is unable to display multiple frames, the method comprising: generating a list including at least one data structure (col. 3 lines 18-24);

wherein each data structure includes at least two pointers and each of the at least two pointers corresponds to a different respective frame (col. 2 lines 63-col. 3 line 2);

wherein each data structure has a corresponding respective context indicator (col. 3 lines 2-3 and col. 10 lines 28-32); and

sending from a server to a device a first context indicator, a first pointer, and a first document pointed to by the first pointer (col. 12 lines 32-44 and col. 14 lines 30-49).

Regarding claim 20, Nicolas teaches a method for maintaining frame context (Fig. 7), the method comprising:

receiving at a device a context indicator that points to a data structure on a server (col. 12 lines 32-44);

wherein the data structure has at least two pointers each of which corresponds to a different respective frame (col. 12 lines 32-44);and

Art Unit: 2155

receiving at the device one of the at least two pointers and a document associated with the one of the at least two pointers (col. 14 lines 30-49).

Regarding claim 21, Nicolas teaches sending from the device to the server the context indicator and the one of the at least two pointers (col. 14 lines 30-49).

Regarding claim 22, Nicolas teaches the sending occurs when a user backtracks to the document pointed to by the one of the at least two pointers and makes a request associated with the document (col.14 lines 30-67).

Regarding claim 23, Nicolas teaches sending from the device to the server a request associated with the context indicator and the one of the at least two pointers (col. 14 lines 30-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 2-10, 12-14, 16-19 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nicolas in view of Ishimine (US 5,764,227).

Regarding claim 2, Nicolas teaches receiving at a server from the device the first context indicator, the first pointer, and a request (col. 14 lines 7-14); generating based on the request a second data structure with a third pointer for the first frame and a fourth pointer for the second frame (col. 14 lines 7-62).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 3, Nicolas teaches receiving at a server from the device a request (col. 16 lines 5-10); and generating based on the request a second data structure having a third pointer for the first frame and a fourth pointer for the second frame (col. 14 lines 7-62).

Regarding claim 4, Nicolas does not teach assigning the first context indicator and the first pointer to current context indicator.

Ishimine, in the same field of endeavor, teaches assigning the first context indicator and the first pointer to current context indicator (Fig. 12(a) col. 7 lines 59-61). Ishimine teaches such assigning step allows easy recognition of each individual page/frame of the document (col. 1 line 6-12). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the assigning step of Ishimine in the process of maintaining frame/[page context in Nicolas.

Regarding claim 5, Nicolas teaches associating a second context indicator with the second data structure (col. 3 lines 2-3 and col. 10 lines 28-32); and sending to the device the second context indicator, the third pointer, and a second document pointed to by the third pointer (col. 14 line 63-col. 15 line12).

Regarding claims 6 and 8, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches associating a second context indicator with the second data structure (Ishimine, Fig. 12(b)); and assigning the second context indicator and the third pointer to a current context indicator (Ishimine, Fig. 12(b)).

Regarding claim 7, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches associating a second context indicator with the second data structure (Ishimine, Fig. 3); and sending to the device the

Art Unit: 2155

context indicator, the pointer, and a document pointed to by the pointer (col. 12 lines 32-44 and col. 14 lines 30-49).

Regarding claim 9, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches associating a second context indicator (i.e., 2) with the second data structure; and placing the first context indicator (i.e., 1) and the second context indicator into a list (data table memory 6) in the relative order that the first context indicator and the second context indicator were generated (Ishimine, Fig. 3).

Regarding claim 10, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches assigning the first context indicator and the first pointer to a current context indicator; wherein assigning the first context indicator precedes receiving at a server from the device the first context indicator, assigning the second context indicator and the third pointer to the current context indicator; wherein assigning the second context indicator occurs after receiving at a server from the device the first context indicator (Ishimine, col. 7 line 50-col. 8 line 3).

Regarding claim 12, Nicolas teaches the second pointer and the fourth pointer point to different documents (Fig 7).

Regarding claim 13, Nicola-Ishimine teaches associating a second context indicator with the second data structure; placing the first context indicator and the second context indicator into a list in the relative order that the first context indicator and the second context indicator were generated (Ishimine, Fig. 3).

Regarding claim 14, Nicolas teaches generating a third data structure with a fifth pointer to the first frame and a sixth pointer to the second frame (col. 2 lines 63-col. 3 line 2); associating a third context indicator with the third data structure (col. 3 lines 2-3

Art Unit: 2155

and col. 10 lines 28-32); sending the third context indicator, the fifth pointer, and a third document associated with the fifth pointer to the device (col. 12 lines 32-44 and col. 14 lines 30-49); receiving at the server from the device the first context indicator, the first pointer, and a request (col. 14 lines 7-14); and generating based on the request a fourth data structure with a seventh pointer for the first frame and an eighth pointer for the second frame (col. 14 lines 7-62).

Regarding claim 16, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches receiving at the server from the device the first context indicator, the first pointer, and a request (Nicolas, col. 14 lines 7-14); generating based on the request a new data structure (Nicolas, col. 14 lines 7-62); associating a new context indicator with the new data structure (Nicolas, col. 3 lines 2-3 and col. 10 lines 28-32); placing the new data structure into the list (Ishimine, Fig. 3); and sending from the server to the device a new context indicator, a new pointer which is associated with the new data structure (Barclay, col. 6 line 48-col. 7 line 3), and a new document pointed to by the new pointer (Nicolas, col. 12 lines 32-44 and col. 14 lines 30-49).

Regarding claim 17, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches assigning the first context indicator and the first pointer to a current context indicator; and wherein the assigning the first context indicator occurs before receiving at the server from the device the first context indicator (Ishimine, col. 7 lines 59-col. 8 line 3).

Regarding claim 18, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches reassigning the first context indicator and the first pointer to the current context indicator after receiving at the server from the device the first context indicator (Ishimine, col. 4 lines 23-59).

Art Unit: 2155

Page 8

Regarding claim 19, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches wherein generating is also based

on the first context indicator and the first pointer (Ishimine, col. 10 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 24, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches the context indicator, the one of

the at least two pointers and the document associated with the one of the at least two

pointers (Ishimine, Fig. 3 col. 4 lines 23-36).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Oanh L. Duong whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 8:00AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on (703) 308-6662. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

O.D July 11, 2004

HOSAIN ALAM

A PTO-1449 (modified) J.S. Department of Commerce Atty. М# Client Ref. Dkt. No. / FORM PAT-1449) Patent and Trademark Office . [7 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMEN 90933-276150 EP-003(U) Applicant: Prasad Krothapalli et al. BY APPLICANT Appln. No.: 09/765,077 Filing Date: January 17, 2002 Date: June 25, 2002 Page of 1 Examiner: Unassigned U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Group Art Unit: 2673 Examiner' Document Date Name Number Class Sub MM/YYYY (Family Name of First Inventor) Filing Initials* Class Date AR 6,073,135 (if appropriate) 06/06/00 Broder et al. BR 707 100 CR DR ER FR RECEIVED GR HR JUL 0 9 2002 IR Technology Center 2600 JR KR LR MR NR FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS English Document Translation Date Country Inventor Name Abstract Readily Number MM/YYYY Available Enclosed No OR Enclose No PR QR RR SR TR UR VR WR XR OTHER (Including in this order Author, Title, Periodical Name, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.) ZR AAR BBR CCR DDR Examiner

H.Xair

ω,

Ì

*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 09/765,077 Examiner Oanh L. Duong Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination KROTHAPALLI ET AL. Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,711,714	03-2004	Wynblatt et al.	715/500.1
	В	US-6,593,944	07-2003	Nicolas et al.	345/744
	С	US-6,289,361	09-2001	Uchida, Shinichiro	715/501.1
	D	US-6,704,732	03-2004	Barclay, Iain Stuart	707/10
	Ε	US-5,764,227	06-1998	Ishimine, Hisako	345/807
	F	US-6,031,989	02-2000	Cordell, John P.	717/109
	G	US-6,657,647	12-2003	Bright, Walter G.	345/856
	Η	US-6,266,684	07-2001	Kraus et al.	715/513
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	V	
	w	
	х	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

